Attorney's Docket No.:

## DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and country of citizenship

low) or an original, first, and	d joint inventor (if plural n	original, first, and sole inventor (i ames are listed below) of the subj DATA TRANSMISSION		
specification of which				
is attached heret				
	14 November 2003 states Application Number			
	International Application		_	
	s amended on	<del></del>		
	(if ap	oplicable)	_	
claim(s), as amended by an	y amendment referred to a	I the contents of the above-identife above. I acknowledge the duty to Title 37, Code of Federal Regula	disclose all in	nformation
tent or inventor's certificate, ner that the United States of	or 365(a) of any PCT inte America, listed below and tor's certificate, or any PC	U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or 365(b), of ar mational application which desig have also identified below, by ch Γ international application having	nated at least ecking the b g a filing date	one country ox, any forei
rior Foreign Application(s)			Priority Claimed?	?
0226571.8	Great Britain	14 November 2002	X_	
(Number)	(Country)	(Foreign Filing Date)	Yes	No
		<i>-</i> ·		
(Number)	(Country)	(Foreign Filing Date)	Yes	No
I hereby claim the bene	fit, under 35 U.S.C. 119(e)	), of any United States provisiona	l application(	(s) listed belo
(Application Number)	Filing Date			
(Application Number)	Filing Date			
I hereby claim the bene	fit, under 35 U.S.C. 120, o	of any United States application(s)	) listed below	<b>/</b> :
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status—patented,	(Status—patented, pending, abandoned)	
(Application Number)	Filing Date	(Status—natented	(Status—natented pending abandoned)	

I hereby appoint: Donald R. Antonelli, Reg. No. 20,296; Melvin Kraus, Reg. No. 22,466; William I. Solomon, Reg. No. 28,565; Gregory E. Montone, Reg. No. 28,141; Ronald J. Shore, Reg. No. 28,577; Donald E. Stout, Reg. No. 26,422; Alan E. Schiavelli, Reg. No. 32,087; James N. Dresser, Reg. No. 22,973; Carl I. Brundidge, Reg. No. 29,621; Paul J. Skwierawski, Reg. No. 32,173; and Robert M. Bauer, Reg. No. 34,487; of ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP with offices located at 1300 North Seventeenth Street, Suite 1800, Arlington, Virginia 22209; and the following Nokia attorneys: Jerald Gnuschke, Reg. No. 42,588, Brian Rivers, Reg. No. 41,270, Robert Rolnik, Reg. No. 37,995 and Milan Patel, Reg. No 41,242, my attorneys, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Send all correspondence to:

Customer Number 020457
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP
1300 North Seventeenth Street
Suite 1800
Arlington, VA 22209

Direct all telephone calls and faxes to:

TEL: (703) 312-6600 FAX: (703) 312-6666

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole/First InventorMiraj MO	OSTAFA
Inventor's Signature	Date APRIL 01, 2004
Residence Tampere, Finland (City, State)  Mailing Address Lukonmäenkatu 3 B 18,	(Country of Citizenship)
Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor	
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence(City, State) Mailing Address	Citizenship (Country of Citizenship)
Full Name of Third/Joint Inventor	
Inventor's Signature	Date
Residence (City, State) Mailing Address	Citizenship (Country of Citizenship)

## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by CCl.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application

and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT intentional filing date of the continuation-in-part application.